

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---------------------|----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/980,366 | 11/28/2001 | Akio Ishii | KIUI-BQ23 | 1338 | |
| 75 | 590 02/19/2003 | | | | |
| Joseph W Pric | e | | EXAMI | EXAMINER | |
| Price & Gess | | | MARCANTONI, PAUL D | | |
| Suite 250 | _ | | m/me/miles | 111, 171022 | |
| 2100 SE Main Street | | | ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER | | |
| Irvine, CA 920 | 014 | | 1755 | | |
| | | | 1755 | | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 02/19/2003 | 4 | |
| | | | | / | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | AS- | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| | 09/980,366 | ISHII ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Paul Marcantoni | 1755 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with | the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing of earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status | 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN | by be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 N</u> | ovember 2001 . | | | | |
| | s action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowar | nce except for formal matter | rs, prosecution as to the merits is | | | |
| closed in accordance with the practice under E Disposition of Claims | Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. | 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | |
| 4) \boxtimes Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw | n from consideration. | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | _ | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. | | | | | |
| 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 | | | | | |
| 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign | priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1 | 19(a)-(d) or (f). | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | have been received. | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | |
| Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bur See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | |
| 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). | | | | | |
| a) The translation of the foreign language pro- | visional application has bee | n received. | | | |
| Attachment(s) | , , , | • | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | 5) Notice of Info | mmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ormal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Application/Control Number: 09/980,366

Art Unit: 1755

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Watanabe et al. '030.

Watanabe would appear to teach a carbonaceous refractory containing all the components claimed for applicants' instant invention in overlapping amounts. Even if not anticipated, overlapping ranges of amounts would have been prima facie obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as failing to set forth the subject matter which applicant(s) regard as their invention.

Deletion of parentheses in claim 1 and throughout the claims is advised and requested because use of parentheses in the claims is improper. However, in claims such as 3 and 6 it would appear appropriate to use parentheses () since it is part of

Application/Control Number: 09/980,366

Art Unit: 1755

Page 3

the identification scheme for crystallographic direction. Thus, these parentheses can stay in the claim but all others must be removed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Paul Marcantoni whose telephone number is (703)-308-1196. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell can be reached on (703) 308-3823. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703)-872-9310 for regular communications and (703)-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Paul Marcantoni Primary Examiner Art Unit 1755